

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
09/757,965	01/10/2001	Tak Hung Ning	YOR920000588US2	9386
75	590 01/25/2002	•		
Robert M. Trepp Intellectual Property Law Dept. IBM Corporation			EXAMINER	
			TRAN, THIEN F	
P.O. Box 218 Yorktown Heights, NY 10598			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2811	
		DATE MAILED: 01/25/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A 1: 4/a			
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Antique Comments		09/757,965 NING, TAK HUNG				
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit			
		Thien F Tran	2811			
Period fo						
THE I - Exter after - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLIMALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repliperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for a cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  rom the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•				
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) The	nis action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application	n.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)[	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-22 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce					
; 	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		pproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re					
,	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.				
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		-			
i	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	All b) Some * c) None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer					
*	3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the pri- application from the International B See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes					
!	a)  The translation of the foreign language particle.  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	rovisional application has been	received.			
Attachme						
1)  Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Infor	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
!			······································			

1

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-12, drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in class 257, subclass 574.
- II. Claims 13-22, drawn to process for making semiconductor devices, classified in class 438, subclass 22+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, unpatentability of the group I invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the method of the group II invention, since the device of the group I invention could be made by processes different from those of the group II invention. For example, selective forming instead of forming and patterning the second semiconductor layer as the base.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Application/Control Number: 09/757,965

Art Unit: 2811

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

This application is further restricted because it contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Embodiment 1 of Fig. 5

Embodiment 2 of Figs. 6-8

Embodiment 3 of Figs. 9-19

Embodiment 4 of Figs. 20-27

Embodiment 5 of Figs. 28-39

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/757,965

Art Unit: 2811

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thien F Tran whose telephone number is (703) 308-4108. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00AM - 3:30PM Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (703) 308-2772. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

tt

January 23, 2002

10m /pomes

TOM THOMAS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMPLER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800